

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PREMIUM SPORTS INC.,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

Civil Action No.

JOHN MAHON, DESMOND O'BRIEN and JOHN
PATRICK BURKE, Individually, and as officers,
directors, shareholders, principals, managers and/or
members of JPD RESTAURANT LLC, d/b/a PIG N
WHISTLE,

and

JPD RESTAURANT LLC, d/b/a PIG N WHISTLE,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, **PREMIUM SPORTS INC.**, (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by their attorneys,
LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE P.C., complaining of the Defendants herein respectfully sets forth
and alleges, as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a civil action seeking damages for violations of 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 or 605, et seq. and for copyright infringement under the copyright laws of the U.S. (17 U.S.C. §101, et seq.).

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 U.S.C. §101, et seq. and 28 U.S.C. Section §1331, which states that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions

arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; and 28 U.S.C. Section §1338(a) (copyright).

3. Upon information and belief, venue is proper in this court because, inter alia, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within the Southern District of New York (28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 112 (b)).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action. Defendants to this action had or have an agent or agents who has or have independently transacted business in the State of New York and certain activities of Defendants giving rise to this action took place in the State of New York; more particularly, Defendants' acts of violating federal laws and the proprietary rights of Plaintiff, as the exclusive rights owner to the distribution of the satellite programming transmission signals, took place within the State of New York. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendants have their principal place of business within the State of New York; thus, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

THE PARTIES

5. The Plaintiff is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 170 Columbus Avenue, Suite 210, San Francisco, CA 94133.

6. The Plaintiff is the holder of the exclusive right to the distribution of the 2015 Six Nations Rugby games in the United States and Canada.

7. The Plaintiff is the holder of the exclusive right to publicly broadcast the 2015 Six Nations Rugby games in the United States and Canada as well as authorize and/or license the public exhibition of same to commercial entities.

8. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JOHN MAHON, resides at 9 E. 45th Street, Apt. 1E, New York, NY 10017.

9. Upon information and belief the Defendant, DESMOND O'BRIEN, resides at 150 W. 47th Street, New York, NY 10036.

10. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JOHN PATRICK BURKE, resides at 65 Stuart Street, Lynbrook, NY 11563

11. Upon information and belief, PIG N WHISTLE, is located and doing business at 202 W. 36th Street, New York, NY 10018 (the "Establishment").

12. Upon information and belief, the Defendants, JOHN MAHON, DESMOND O'BRIEN and JOHN PATRICK BURKE, are officers, directors, shareholders, principals, managers and/or members of JPD RESTAURANT LLC, d/b/a PIG N WHISTLE.

13. Upon information and belief, the Defendants, JOHN MAHON, DESMOND O'BRIEN and JOHN PATRICK BURKE, were the individuals with supervisory capacity and control over the activities occurring within the Establishment on March 14, 2015.

14. Upon information and belief, the Defendants, JOHN MAHON, DESMOND O'BRIEN and JOHN PATRICK BURKE, received a financial benefit from the operations of JPD RESTAURANT LLC, d/b/a PIG N WHISTLE on March 14, 2015.

15. Upon information and belief, the Defendants, JOHN MAHON, DESMOND O'BRIEN and JOHN PATRICK BURKE, were the individuals with close control over the internal operating procedures and employment practices of JPD RESTAURANT LLC, d/b/a PIG N WHISTLE on March 14, 2015.

16. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, JPD RESTAURANT LLC, is a limited

liability company, organized and licensed to do business in the State of New York.

17. Upon information and belief, the Defendants, JOHN MAHON, DESMOND O'BRIEN and JOHN PATRICK BURKE, advertised for the exhibition of Plaintiff's broadcast within the commercial establishment known as PIG N WHISTLE.

18. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, JPD RESTAURANT LLC, advertised for the exhibition of Plaintiff's broadcast within the commercial establishment known as PIG N WHISTLE.

COUNT I

19. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "18," inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

20. Plaintiff is the owner of the exclusive right to distribute the 2015 Six Nations Rugby games in the United States and Canada, including the Ireland vs. Wales Match, scheduled for March 14, 2015, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal (hereinafter referred to as the "Broadcast"). The Broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted to cable systems and satellite companies via satellite signal.

21. Plaintiff, for a licensing fee, entered into licensing agreements with various entities in the State of New York, allowing them to publicly exhibit the Broadcast to their patrons.

22. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast was not to be received and exhibited by entities unauthorized to do so, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees, without paying Plaintiff a fee or entering into an agreement with Plaintiff, unlawfully intercepted, received and/or de-scrambled said satellite signal and did

exhibit the Broadcast at the above address at the time of its transmission willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen and/or employees used an illegal satellite receiver, intercepted Plaintiff's signal and/or used a device to intercept Plaintiff's Broadcast, which originated via satellite uplink and then re-transmitted via satellite or microwave signal to various cable and satellite systems. There are multiple illegal methods of accessing the Broadcast, including but not limited to (1) splicing an additional coaxial cable line or redirecting a wireless signal from an adjacent residence into a business establishment; (2) commercially misusing cable or satellite by registering same as a residence when it is, in fact, a business; or (3) taking a lawfully obtained box or satellite receiver from a private residence, into a business. In addition, emerging technologies, such as broadband or internet broadcast, as well as "slingbox" technology (which allows a consumer to literally sling the Broadcast from his personal home cable or satellite systems into his computer), can allow commercial misuse of residential broadcasting feeds through the internet from anywhere in the world. Each of these methods would allow Defendants to access the Broadcast unlawfully. Prior to Discovery, Plaintiff is unable to determine the manner in which Defendants obtained the Broadcast. However, it is logical to conclude that Defendants utilized one of the above described methods or another to intercept and exhibit the Broadcast without entering into an agreement to obtain it lawfully from Plaintiff, the legal rights holder for commercial exhibition.

24. 47 U.S.C. §605 (a) prohibits the unauthorized reception and publication or use of communications such as the transmission for which Plaintiff had the distribution rights thereto.

25. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, the Defendants willfully violated 47 U.S.C. §605 (a).

26. By reason of the Defendants' violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a), Plaintiff has a private right of action pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605.

27. As a result of the Defendants' willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a), Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in the discretion of this Court, under 47 U.S.C. §605 (e)(3)(C)(i)(II) and (ii) of up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 as to each Defendant.

28. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605, Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of full costs, interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT II

29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs "1" through "18" and "21" through "23," inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

30. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast was not to be received and exhibited by entities unauthorized to do so, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees did exhibit the Broadcast at the location of 202 W. 36th Street, New York, NY 10018, at the time of its transmission willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

31. 47 U.S.C. §553 prohibits the unauthorized reception, interception and exhibition of any communications service offered over a cable system such as the transmission for which Plaintiff had the distribution rights thereto.

32. Upon information and belief, the Defendants individually, willfully and illegally

intercepted said Broadcast when it was distributed and shown by cable television systems.

33. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, all of the aforementioned Defendants willfully violated 47 U.S.C. §553, thereby giving rise to a private right of action.

34. As a result of the aforementioned Defendants' violations of 47 U.S.C. §553, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in an amount in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00, plus the recovery of full costs, interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT III

35. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs "1" through "18" and "21" through "23" and "30," inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

36. Plaintiff is the owner of the exclusive right to distribute the 2015 Six Nations Rugby games in the United States and Canada, including the Ireland vs. Wales Match, scheduled for March 14, 2015, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal. The application for Certificate of Copyright Registration was filed on March 17, 2015. The Broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted to cable systems and satellite companies via satellite signal.

37. Plaintiff has exclusive rights to the Broadcast, including the exclusive right of distribution of same and the exclusive right to publicly exhibit the Broadcast in the United States and Canada as well as authorize and/or license the public exhibition to commercial entities.

38. Defendants never obtained the proper authority or license from Plaintiff to publicly exhibit the Broadcast on March 14, 2015.

39. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast can only be exhibited within a commercial establishment by the purchasing of a license from Plaintiff, Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees illegally intercepted the Broadcast and exhibited same in their commercial establishment on March 14, 2015.

40. Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen and employees unlawfully obtained the Broadcast, enabling Defendants to publicly exhibit the Broadcast without paying the appropriate licensing fee to Plaintiff.

41. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, the Defendants willfully violated 17 U.S.C. §501(a).

42. By reason of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of 17 U.S.C. §501(a), Plaintiff has a private right of action pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §501(b).

43. As a result of Defendants' willful infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive distribution rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in the discretion of this Court, under 17 U.S.C. §504(c)(1) and §504(c)(2), of up to the maximum amount of \$150,000.00.

44. Plaintiff is further entitled to its attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered in its favor and against each of the aforementioned Defendants granting to Plaintiff the following:

- (a) Declare that Defendants' unauthorized exhibition of the March 14, 2015 Broadcast violated the Federal Communications Act and the U.S. Copyright Act and that such violations were committed willfully and for purposes of Defendants' direct or indirect commercial advantage or for private financial gain.

(b) On the first cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 as to each Defendant for their willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a); or

(c) On the second cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00 as to each Defendant for their violation of 47 U.S.C. §553.

(d) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to each Defendant pursuant to 47 U. S. C. § 605 (e).

(e) On the third cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$150,000.00 as to each Defendant pursuant to §504(c)(1) and §504(c)(2) for their violation of 17 U.S.C. §501(a).

(f) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to each Defendant pursuant to 17 U. S. C. § 505, together with such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: June 17, 2015
Ellenville, New York

PREMIUM SPORTS INC.

By: /s/Christopher J. Hufnagel
CHRISTOPHER J. HUFNAGEL, ESQ.
(CH8734)
LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C.
80 North Main Street, P.O. Box 351
Ellenville, New York 12428
Telephone: (845)647-8500
Facsimile: (845)647-6277
Email: Legal@signallaw.com
Our File No. PSI15-01NY-02